

**LIST OF NEW PROPOSALS UNDER THE SCHEME OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR PREVENTION OF ALCOHOLISM AND SUBSTANCE (DRUGS) ABUSE AND FOR SOCIAL DEFENCE SERVICES CONSIDERED BY THE SCREENING COMMITTEE IN ITS MEETING HELD ON 11th NOVEMBER 2016.**

A meeting of the Screening Committee constituted by the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment was held on 11th November, 2016 under the Chairmanship of Smt. Ghazala Meenai, Joint Secretary (Social Defence) to scrutinize and make recommendation on the new proposals of NGOs received through State Governments/Union Territories under the Scheme of Financial Assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse and for Social Defence Services. The members of the Committee Sh. S Prakash, Sh. Vivek Kabra and Shri Sandeep Gupta, Director (IFD) in the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment were present in the meeting. Shri Khagesh Garg, Director (DP) and Shri Rajesh Kr. Sinha, Under Secretary (DP) were asked by the Committee to assist in the scrutiny during the meeting.

2. Smt. Ghazala Meenai, Joint Secretary (Social Defence) and Chairman of the committee welcomed the members and drew the attention of the members to clause 3.4.2 (v) of the Scheme of Assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse and for Social Defence Services which entails that the organizations/institutions to be eligible should ordinarily have existed for three years. After detail deliberations, it was decided that the following parameters may be taken into considerations for recommending an organization to be eligible to receive grant from M/o Social Justice and Empowerment:

- i. Equal geographical spread ensuring due considerations to un-served and under-served areas.
- ii. Depiction of expenditure in the annual accounts
- iii. Mention of the de-addiction in MOA.
- iv. Normally not more than one NGOs in a district and three in a big city. For metropolitan, it was decided that a judicious view may be taken in each case as per addiction rate, number of available projects etc.
- v. Activity of de-addiction requires focused attention to patients. It was therefore, decided that those organizations who are solely concentrating on de-addiction may be given preference to those who are undertaking multiple social activities.

3. The Committee, during examination of the proposals, observed a large number of new proposals recommended by the State Government were in existence for less than 3 years, which was not in consonance with the provision 3.4.2(v) of the Scheme. It was observed that many proposals were incomplete in many respects and therefore, did not find it possible to recommend these proposals. Many of the Projects had not reflected the activities with regard to de-addiction undertaken during previous years in their Annual Report and no substantial amount was incurred as per their Audited Accounts. Remarks against each have been mentioned against their names in the Annexure to this Minutes. **It was also decided that before release of grant in aid to these NGOs, the Ministry may satisfy itself about their proper functioning through an inspection either by a team of officers from the Ministry or by the representatives of the RRTCs.**

*Ghazala Meenai*



4. The two external members of the Screening Committee suggested that they should be authorized to inspect the IRCAs. Shri Vivek Kabra recommended that since these IRCAs receive grant in aid from the Ministry, they should invariably display on their reception that treatment facilities provided by them to patients is free of cost. He also expressed concerned over increasing number of wine shop in Delhi and other parts of the country.

5. In total, seven proposals were recommended by the Committee for grant- in- aid and two IRCAs were recommended for up gradation from 15 bedded to 30 bedded. The state wise position of the new proposals placed before the Committee and its recommendations are given as under:

(i) **Assam:** All the 2 new proposals and one discontinued case duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Assam were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals recommended following discontinued case for release of grant:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Association for social health in India	Guwahati	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(ii) **Arunachal Pradesh:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal, did not find it suitable for recommendation.

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(iii) **Daman and Diu:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Daman and Diu was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal, did not find it suitable for recommendation.

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(iv) **Gujarat:-** 21 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Gujarat were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(v) **Haryana:** 2 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Haryana were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals recommended following proposal for release of grant:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Ankush Foundation	Hisar	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure.**

(v) **Himachal Pradesh:** 4 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Himachal Pradesh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals recommended following proposal for release of grant:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Gunjan Organization for Community Development	Hamirpur	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure.**

(vi) **Madhya Pradesh:** Two new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Madhya Pradesh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

(vii) **Maharashtra:** All the 6 new proposals for IRCA duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Maharashtra were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, recommended the following two proposals:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Snehdeep Education Society	Aurangabad	IRCA
2	Bhartiya Dalit Vikas Parishad	Aurangabad	IRCA

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(viii) **Manipur:-** All 3 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Manipur were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.

Details the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure.

(ix) **Mizoram:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Mizoram was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal recommended for release of grant:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Social Guidance Agency	Mamit	IRCA

*Pragati Nandan*

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(x) **Nagaland:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Nagaland was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal, did not find it suitable for recommendation.

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xi) **Puducherry:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Puducherry was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal, did not find it suitable for recommendation.

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xii) **Punjab:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Punjab was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal, did not find it suitable for recommendation.

Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xiii) **Rajasthan:** One new proposal and one proposal of up gradation from 15 bedded to 30 bedded IRCA duly recommended by the State Govt. of Rajasthan were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals recommended following IRCA for upgradation from 15 bedded to 30 bedded. The Committee, on scrutinizing the new proposal, did not find it suitable for recommendation

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Tapovan Nasha Mukti avam Punrawas Sansthan	Sri Ganga Nagar	Recommended for up gradation 15 bedded to 30 bedded.

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**.

(xiv) **Uttar Pradesh:** Two new proposal and one proposal of up gradation from 15 bedded to 30 bedded IRCA duly recommended by the State Govt. of Uttar Pradesh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal recommended following project for release of grant and also recommended for upgradation from 15 bedded to 30 bedded to:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Sifa Care Sansthan	Kanpur	Recommended
2	Bhagirath Sewa Sanstha	Ghaziabad	Recommended for up gradation 15 bedded to 30 bedded.

*Pragya Deenan*

*SL*


*hu*

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure.**

(xv) **West Bengal:-** All 2 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Manipur were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, did not find any project suitable for recommendation.


Details the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure.

6. The recommendations of the Committee in para 5 above are subject to other conditions and completion of necessary formalities as per the norms/ guidelines of the Scheme.

  
(Sh. S Prakash)  
Member

(Sh. Vivek Kabra )  
Member

  
(Sandeep Gupta)  
Member

  
(Ghazala Meenai)  
Chairperson

## ANNEXURE

**List of New Proposals under the Scheme of financial assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse considered by the Screening Committee in its meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> Nov, 2016**

SI.No.	Name of Organization	Project Location (District)	Date of State Govt. Recommendation	Remarks
(i)	<b>ASSAM</b>			
1.	Jeebon Deep Society	Goiapur	recommended offline	The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
2.	Rupahi Kohinoor club (2016-17)	Nagaon	recommended offline	The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
3.	Association for social health in India	Guwahati	11/12/2015	It was a discontinued case and <b>has been recommended.</b>
(ii)	<b>ARUNACHAL PRADESH</b>			
4.	Amik Matai Society	Lohit	recommended offline	The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
(iii)	<b>DAMAN AND DIU</b>			
5.	Sargam Yuva Mandal	Diu	31-8-2016	The proposal was not received through online system. As the UT has no IRCA at present, the committee decided that the case may be considered in the next meeting.

				subject to its receipt from the State Government through online system.
(iv)	<b>GUJARAT</b>			
6	Adivasi Khedut Gram Vikas Mandal Kadvanapad	Dohad	19-09-2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA and list of beneficiaries is not available.
7	Kasturba Sevashram	NAVSARI	19-09-2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA. Annual Report and Budget Estimates are not available.
8	Deepak Foundation	Vadodara	19-09-2016	As there is already one de-addiction Centre in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
9	Deepak Medical Foundation	Vadodara	19-09-2016	As there is already one de-addiction Centre in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
10	Nashabandhi Mandal Gujarat	Ahmadabad	19-09-2016	As there are three de-addiction Centres in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
11	Gramotkarsh And Vikas Trust	Vadodara	19-09-2016	As there is already one de-addiction Centre in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
12	Lok Seva Sarvajanic Trust	Kachchh	19-09-2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA. The project started on 2.10.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines.

13	Vinoba Bhave Seva Sansthan	Sabar Kantha	19-09-2016	The project started on 15.8.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
14	Akhil Bhartiya Vikash Mandal	Gandhi Nagar	19-09-2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA. The project started on 1.4.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
15	Priya Charitable Trust	Mahesana	19-09-2016	The project started on 1.8.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Budget Estimates is not available.
16	Brahma Samaj Seva Trust	Patan	19-09-2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA. List of beneficiaries is not available.
17	Dr Br Ambedkar Charitable Trust	Gandhi Nagar	19-09-2016	The project started on 1.8.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines. No expenditure has been incurred on account of de-addiction.
18	The Late Matushri Vijayaben Amrutlal Joshi Edu And Char Trust	Junagadh	19-09-2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA. No bed and no patient were found.
19	Ia Shree Khodiyar Education Seva Trust	Panch Mahals	19-09-2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA.
20	Sarvangi Vikas Kelvani Mandal, Vapi	Mahesana	19-09-2016	The project started on 1.4.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
21	Sarvangi Vikas Kelvani Mandal, Gadhada	Mahesana	19-09-2016	The project started on 1.4.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in Annual Report and no expenditure in Audit



				Report.
22	Sardar Patel Khadi Gramodhyog Samiti	Rajkot	19-09-2016	Activities for de-addiction have not been mentioned in MOA. There is no expenditure in Audit Report and one de-addiction Centre already exists in the District. As per Inspection Report, number of patients during inspection was nil. Accordingly the Committee did not recommend.
23	Dhruv Foundation	Vadodara	19-09-2016	As there is one de-addiction Centre in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
24	Shri Ramabai Shramjivi Sarvajanik Education Trust	Ahmadabad	19-09-2016	As there are three de-addiction Centres in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
25	Shakhya Foundation	Gandhi Nagar	19-09-2016	The project started on 15.7.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Audit Report, Annual Report, List of beneficiaries and staff list are not available. As per Inspection Report, number of patient during inspection was nil.
26	Shree Dutt Charitable Trust	Navsari	19-09-2016	The project started on 10.8.2015. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines. Audit Report and Annual Reports are not available.

(v)	<b>HARYANA</b>			
27	Savera Nasha mukti (offline)	Hisar		The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
28	Ankush Foundation	Hisar		<b>Recommended</b>
(vi)	<b>HIMACHAL PRADESH</b>			
29	Santoshi Mahila Sangathan	Chamba	9.3.2016	-No activity of drug addiction -No.of beneficiaries is NIL -List of staff not submitted
30	Red Cross Society	Kangra	8.7.2016	As there is one de-addiction Centre in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
31	Gunjan Organization for Community Development	Hamirpur	8.7.2016	<b>Recommended</b>
32	Gunjan Organization for Community Development	Kangra	8.7.2016	As there is one de-addiction Centre in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
(vii)	<b>MADHYA PRADESH</b>			
33	Swayam Siddha siddhant Sewa awem shiksha samiti	Bhopal		The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
34	Saraswati Shakti	Gwalior		The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.

(viii)	<b>MAHARASHTRA</b>			
35	Chandan Yuvak Shikshan Vyayam Vikas Krida Mandal	Aurangabad	29-07-2016	The organization is engaged in multiple activities.
36	Udaylaxmi Charitable Trust Parbhani	Parbhani	29-07-2016	As there are three de-addiction Centres in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
37	Snehdeep Education Society	Aurangabad	16-08-2016	<b>Recommended</b>
38	Lok Jagruti Bahuuddeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha	Beed	30-09-2016	As there are three de-addiction Centres in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
39	Swami Vivekanand Manav Vikas Sanstha	Nanded	17-03-2016	As there are three de-addiction Centres in the District, the Committee did not recommend.
40	Bhartiya Dalit Vikas Parishad	Aurangabad	11/03/2016	<b>Recommended</b>
(ix)	<b>MANIPUR</b>			
41	Regional Institute of Handicapped Person	Thoubal	recommended offline	The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
42	Yaiphanaba	Bishnupur	recommended offline	The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
43	The People Welfare Organization	Bishnupur	recommended offline	The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not Recommended.

(x)	<b>MIZORAM</b>			
44	Social Guidance Agency	Mamit	21.10.2016	<b>Recommended.</b>
(xi)	<b>NAGALAND</b>			
45	Charity Welfare Society	Phek	23.8.2016	Aim and objective of drug addiction is not mentioned in the constitution
(xii)	<b>PUDUCHERRY</b>			
46	Social Organization For Network Information And Communication	Puducherry	13-10-2016	The project started on 1.4.2016. It has no sufficient experience as per the guidelines.
(xiii)	<b>PUNJAB</b>			
47	Guru Kashi Vikas and Samaj Bhalai Society	Bathinda	2.9.2016	Drug addiction centre already in existence
(xiv)	<b>RAJASTHAN</b>			
48	Tapovan Nasha Mukti avam Punrawas Sansthan	Sri Ganganagar		The proposal was for up gradation from 15 bedded to 30 bedded IRCA. <b>The Committee recommended the proposal.</b>
49	Jagdumba Education	Tonk		The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
(xv)	<b>UTTAR PRADESH</b>			
50	Bhagirath Sewa Sanstha	Ghaziabad		The proposal was for upgradation from 15 bedded to 30 bedded IRCA. <b>The Committee recommended</b>

				<b>the proposal.</b>
51	Upkar Mother Teresa Jankalyan Samiti	Shahjahanpur		The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
52	Sifa Care Sansthan	Kanpur	4.8.2016	<b>Recommended</b>
(xvi)	<b>WEST BENGAL</b>			
53	Liberal Association for Movement of People	Bankura		The proposal was not received through online system. Therefore it was not recommended.
54	Mukti Rehabilitation Centre	North PGN	24 28.9.2016	As there is already one de-addiction Centre in the District, the Committee did not recommend. -No expenditure shown on drug addiction in the audit report ending 3/2014

\*\*\*\*\*