

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE HELD ON 3rd JULY 2015 TO CONSIDER NEW PROJECT PROPOSALS UNDER THE SCHEME OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR PREVENTION OF ALCOHOLISM AND SUBSTANCE (DRUGS) ABUSE AND FOR SOCIAL DEFENCE SERVICES TO REVALIDATE THE PROPOSALS RECOMMENDED BY THE SCREENING COMMITTEE HELD EARLIER ON 10TH /11TH FEBRUARY 2014 AND TO CONSIDER THE NEW PROPOSALS RECEIVED FROM CHHATISGARH, HIMACHAL PRADESH, JHARKHAND, PUDUCHERRY AND SIKKIM

A meeting of the Screening Committee constituted by the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment was held on 3rd July, 2015 under the Chairmanship of Smt. Ghazala Meenai, Joint Secretary (Social Defence). The members of the Committee Dr. Shanti Ranganathan from TT Ranganathan Clinical Research Foundation, Dr. Narayan Singh Manaklao from Opium De-Addiction Treatment, Training and Research Trust and Shri Sandeep Gupta, Deputy Secretary (IFD) in the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment were present in the meeting. Shri Rajesh Kr. Sinha, Under Secretary (DP) was asked by the Committee to assist.

2. The Screening Committee meeting was convened to revalidate the proposals recommended by the screening committee held earlier on 10th /11th February 2014. Since these proposals were recommended by the Screening Committee at the fag end of the financial year 2013-14 and could not be processed in the following financial year i.e. in the year 2014-15, it required revalidation from the Screening Committee. It was also felt that since there are very few Integrated Rehabilitation Centres for Addicts [IRCA] in the States like Chhatisgarh, Himachal Pradesh, Jharkhand, Puducherry and Sikkim, the Committee may scrutinize and make recommendation on the new proposals of NGOs received from these States.

3. The Committee, unanimously agreed to revalidate the proposals recommended by the screening committee held earlier on 10th /11th February, 2014. It was decided that their validity may be extended upto 31.3.2016.

4. The state wise position of the new proposals placed before the Committee and its recommendations are given as under:

(i) Chhatisgarh: One new proposal of Prayatna Samajik Sanstha, Rajnandgaon was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee observed that the proposal was not recommended by the State Government of Chhatisgarh. Therefore the Proposal of Prayatna Samajik Sanstha, Rajnandgaon was not recommended. Details the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure.

(ii) **Himachal Pradesh:** Both the new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Himachal Pradesh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail did not find suitable for recommendation. Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(iii) Jharkhand: One new proposal of Srijak Samuh, Deogarh duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. Jharkhand was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee observed that since the Ministry has introduced a computerized system for on-line submission and processing of applications of NGOs, the proposal received offline may not be considered for GIA. The Proposal of Srijak Samuh, Deogarh was received offline, therefore, it was not recommended. Details the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(iv) Puducherry: One new proposal of Avvai Village Welfare Society, Karaikal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Pondicherry was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. It was informed by the Under Secretary (DP) that at present, there is no IRCA in Puducherry. The Committee after due consideration recommended this proposal subject to inspection by the concerned RRTC and its satisfactory report. Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(v) Sikkim: Both the new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Government of Sikkim were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. In respect of proposal of Sikkim Rehabilitation and Detoxification Society, Gangtok, it was observed that there was no mention of de-addiction activities in their Memorandum of Association (MOA). It was noticed that as per their MOA, they provide treatment to chemically dependent persons only. The organization has not submitted separate Annual Reports for the last three years. The Committee, therefore, did not recommend the proposal for GIA. In respect of proposal of Sanjeevani Rehabilitation Centre, Gangtok, it was observed that MOA submitted by them was unreadable. The Committee was of the view that their proposal may be re-submitted in the next Meeting with proper documents. Details the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

5. The recommendations of the Committee in para 4 above are subject to other conditions and completion of necessary formalities as per the norms/ guidelines of the Scheme.

**(Dr. Narayan Singh
Manaklao)
Member**

**(Dr. Shanti Ranganathan)
Member**

**(Sandeep Gupta)
Member**

**(Ghazala Meenai)
Chairman**

Annexure

List of New Proposals under the Scheme of financial assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse and for Social Defence Services considered by the Screening Committee in its meeting held on 17th October 2014

Sl. No.	Name of the Organization	Project Location (District)	Date of State Govt. Recommendation	Remarks
(i) Chhatisgarh				
1	Prayatna Samajik Sanstha, Rajnandgaon	Rajnandgaon	Not recommended by the State Government	i. Not recommended by the State Government ii. Proposal received offline Not Recommended by the Committee
(ii) Himachal Pradesh				
1	Red Cross Society, Kangra	Kangra	15.03.2014	1. No infrastructure 2. No Beds are in place Not Recommended by the Committee
2	Gunjan Organization for Community Development	Dharmsala, Kangra		1. List of staff is not available 2. Only one year Audited Report has been submitted 3. Annual Report submitted is only for one year. Not Recommended by the Committee
(iii) Jharkhand				
1	Srijak Samuh, Williams Town, Rani Kothi, Deoghar	Deoghar	10.11.2014	proposal received offline Not Recommended by the Committee
(iv) Puducherry				
1	Avvai Village Welfare Society, Karaikal	Karaikal		Recommended , subject to inspection by concerned RRTC and its satisfactory report.
(v) Sikkim				
1	Sikkim Rehabilitation and Detoxification Society, Gangtok.	Gangtok.		1. There is no mention of de-addiction activities in their MOA. It is also noticed that as per their MOA, they provide treatment to chemically dependent persons only. 2. The organization has

				not submitted separate Annual Reports for the last three years. Not Recommended by the Committee
2	Sanjeevani Rehabilitation Centre, Gangtok	Gangtok		MOA submitted by them was unreadable. Recommended for re-consideration in the next meeting after obtaining readable documents
